# **Annual Council**



Title of Report:  Report No:	Review of Political Balance and Appointment to Politically Balanced Bodies		
Report to and date:	AGM/SE/17/001		
-	Annual Council	18 May 2017	
Portfolio holder:	John Griffiths Leader of the Council Tel: 07958 700434 Email: john.griffiths@stedsbc.gov.uk		
Lead officers:	Karen Points Assistant Director (Human Resources, Legal and Democratic Services) Tel: 01284 757015 Email: karen.points@westsuffolk.gov.uk Leah Mickleborough Service Manager (Democratic Services) and Monitoring Officer Tel: 01284 757162 Email: leah.mickleborough@westsuffolk.gov.uk		
Purpose of report:	The Council is required by the Constitution at each Annual Meeting:		
	(1) to decide which of municipal year;	committees to establish for the	
	(2) to decide the size for those commit	e and agree terms of reference tees;	
		cation of seats and substitutes s in accordance with the rules;	
	nominations of C	ge the delegation of, ouncillors to serve on each hich a new appointment or re- equired; and	
	appointment to t	Committees except where hose bodies has been delegated is exercisable only by the	

## Recommendations: It is RECOMMENDED that: the Committees, Joint Committees and **(1)** Working Party listed in Sections 1.2.1 to 1.2.4 of Report No: AGM/SE/17/001 continue to operate for 2017/2018 in accordance with their existing number of seats and terms of reference (ToR), as amended to include revisions to the ToR for the Licensing and Regulatory Committee, as contained in Appendix 2; the formula for the allocation of seats to **(2)** the political groups on those Committees which are required by law to be politically balanced, as set out in paragraph 1.1.1, be approved; the allocation of seats on the Committees (3) which are required by law to be politically balanced, as indicated in Appendix 1 to Report No: AGM/SE/17/001, be approved; **(4)** the allocation of seats on the West Suffolk Joint Standards Committee, as indicated in Section 1.2.3, be approved. This Committee is not required to be politically balanced; (5) whilst the Democratic Renewal Working Party is not required to be politically balanced, the allocation of seats is by custom and practice, undertaken on this basis. Therefore, the allocation of seats to this Working Party, as indicated in Section 1.2.4, be approved; and (6) the Service Manager (Democratic Services) be requested to exercise their existing delegated authority to re-appoint or appoint as applicable, Members and substitute Members to those bodies set out in recommendations (3), (4) and (5) above on the basis of nominations from the relevant Group Leaders. **Key Decision:** Is this a Key Decision and, if so, under which definition? (Check the appropriate Yes, it is a Key Decision - $\square$ box and delete all those No, it is not a Key Decision - $\boxtimes$ that **do not** apply.) **Consultation:** None

Alternative option	n(s):	<ul> <li>None, as the matters under consideration are required by the Constitution.</li> </ul>				
Implications:						
Are there any <b>fina</b> i	<b>ncial</b> implicat	tions?	Yes □ No ⊠			
If yes, please give	details		<ul> <li>The review has</li> </ul>	been undertaken		
			within existing	resources. Any		
			changes requir	ed as a result of the		
			review will also	be borne from		
			existing budget	S.		
Are there any <b>stafi</b>	<b>fing</b> implicati	ons?	Yes □ No ⊠			
If yes, please give	details		<ul> <li>As above.</li> </ul>	As above.		
Are there any <b>ICT</b>	implications?	If	Yes □ No ⊠			
yes, please give de	tails		•	•		
Are there any <b>lega</b>	l and/or pol	licy	Yes ⊠ No □			
implications? If yes	, please give	-	<ul> <li>See paragraph</li> </ul>	1.1.2		
details						
Are there any <b>equa</b>	ality implicati	ions?	Yes □ No ⊠	Yes □ No ⊠		
If yes, please give			•			
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)				
Risk area	Inherent le	vel of	Controls	Residual risk (after		
	risk (before controls)			controls)		
Opportunities for joint working are missed	Medium		Consider the creation of joint	Low		
Working are misseu			committees/panels			
			wherever possible.			
Duplication of effort	Medium		Carry out an annual	Low		
between member bodies			review of			
boules			committees, working parties, etc to			
			ensure that they are			
			all still relevant and			
			adding value and do			
			not cross over with the activities or			
			other bodies e.g.			
			scrutiny committees			
			or task and finish			
The number of	High		groups Carry out an annual	Medium		
meetings and reviews	Tilgii		review to disband	Mediairi		
cannot be			any groups no longer			
accommodated with			required, and to			
available member and officer time and			optimise frequency			
resources			of meetings. Keep under constant			
			review.			
Wards affected:		All Wards				
Background pape	ers:		None			
•						

Documents attached:	<b>Appendix 1:</b> Committees required to
	be politically balanced and place
	entitlement
	Appendix 2: Committee, Joint
	Committee and Working Party Terms
	of Reference (ToR), including
	proposed revisions to the Licensing
	and Regulatory Committee ToR.

#### 1. Key issues and reasons for recommendations

### 1.1 **Political Composition**

1.1.1 The political composition of the Council is as indicated in the following table:

GROUP	No of members	%
Conservative	34	77.78%
United Kingdom Independence Party (UKIP)	5	11.11%
Charter (DN, RC, DH, JW)	4	8.89%
Independent (TB) Non-Group	1	2.22%
Independent (PH) Non-Group	1	2.22%
TOTAL	45	100.00%

- 1.1.2 The Council will need to formally approve the formula for the allocation of seats to the political groups on those Committees which are required by law to be politically balanced.
- 1.1.3 The obligation to ensure that there is proportionality in the political composition of the Council's committees extends only to proportionate representation of members of political groups, and does not require non-grouped members to be proportionally represented. Seats therefore need to be allocated only to groups.
- 1.1.4 In carrying out any review the Council is obliged to adopt the following principles and to give effect to them 'so far as is reasonably practicable':
  - (a) that not all seats on the Council are allocated to the same political group;
  - (b) that the majority of the seats on the Council are allocated to a particular political group if the number of persons belonging to that group is a majority of the authority's membership;
  - (c) subject to paragraphs (a) and (b) above, that the number of seats on the ordinary committees of the Council which are allocated to each political group, have the same proportion to the total of all the seats on the ordinary committees of that authority as is borne by the number of members of that group to the membership of the authority, and;
  - (d) subject to paragraphs (a) to (c) above, that the number of the seats on the Council which are allocated to each group have the same proportion to the number of all the seats on that Council as is borne by the number of members of that group to the membership of the Council.

#### 1.2 **Entitlement to Places**

- 1.2.1 The table at Appendix 1 and summarised below, shows those Committees that are required to be politically balanced and provides the exact entitlement to places of each group.
  - (a) Development Control (17 seats);
  - (b) Licensing and Regulatory (13 seats);
  - (c) Overview and Scrutiny (16 seats);
  - (d) Performance and Audit Scrutiny (10 seats);
  - (e) Joint Officer Appointments (3 seats);
  - (f) Joint Officer Appeals (3 seats);
  - (g) Mayoral Advisory (7 seats); and
  - (h) Treasury Management (3 seats).
- 1.2.2 As indicated above, it is proposed to increase the size of the Development Control Committee from 16 to 17 seats. As shown in Appendix 2, the existing terms of reference for this Committee, as contained in Part 3 of the Constitution, state:
  - '2.1 The Committee will comprise up to 17 Members of the Authority'.

There is therefore no requirement to seek an amendment to the terms of reference for this Committee.

1.2.3 West Suffolk Joint Standards Committee (3 seats) – Council approved on 26 February 2013 that arrangements for appointments to the West Suffolk Joint Standards Committee be made without compliance with the political balance requirements in Sections 15 and 16 of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989. The allocation of seats is to be one Conservative Group, one UK Independence Party Group and one Charter Group.

Continued overleaf....

1.2.4 <u>Democratic Renewal Working Party</u> - The Democratic Renewal Working Party is not required to be politically balanced, but the allocation of seats is, by custom and practice, undertaken on this basis. The table below gives the exact entitlement to places and the allocated places.

Committee No of seats	Democratic Renewal WP 7		
GROUP	Entitled Places (exact)	Allocated Places	
Conservative	5.29	5	
UKIP	0.78	1	
Charter (DN, RC, DH, JW)	0.62	1	
Independent (TB) Non-Group	0.16	0	
Independent (PH) Non-Group	0.16	0	
TOTAL	7	7	

- 1.2.5 Non-grouped members Although non-grouped members are not required to be proportionally represented, where a group is entitled to less than 0.5 of a place, group leaders may wish to consider whether to give a seat to a non-group member.
- 1.2.6 The Council is asked to consider whether it wishes to continue operating the above Committees, Joint Committees and Working Party for 2017/2018 in accordance with their existing number of seats and terms of reference (as amended where applicable), as contained in Appendix 2 attached.
- 1.2.7 Council is then asked to allocate seats and substitutes to political groups in accordance with the political balance rules and re-appoint the existing membership or appoint new membership as applicable, to those Committees and Working Party via delegated authority.

# 2. Review of the Remit and Responsibilities of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee

- 2.1 Through the development of a shared service approach, the way that Forest Heath District Council and St Edmundsbury Borough Council (West Suffolk councils) manage and operate their respective licensing functions has changed.
- 2.2 Over a five year period, changes have been made in the democratic process and within certain council functions, in particular where they relate to regulatory activities, to improve how policy is developed. A good example of this is the transformation of the Development Control democratic function where changes were made to separate the policy-making and policy-implementation roles within the democratic process. These changes have reenforced the policy-approving roles of Cabinet and full Council in line with the

Constitutions of the councils.

- 2.3 The Task and Finish Group, which included the aforementioned Portfolio Holders and Chairmen of both Forest Heath District Council (FHDC) and St Edmundsbury Borough Council's (SEBC) Licensing and Regulatory Committees, was accountable to the FHDC and SEBC's Cabinets and had a consultation process agreed for emerging proposals to include members of FHDC and SEBC's Licensing and Regulatory Committees, prior to wider consultation.
- 2.4 The review identified and took account of practice in other local authorities similar to FHDC and SEBC for making licensing policy and also research into Licensing Committee arrangements and national legal and policy frameworks and guidance.
- 2.5 The review identified and assessed the options available to bring current practice in line with what is set out in the Constitution, what is already working in other functions in West Suffolk (e.g. Development Control) and also what seemed to be most appropriate within the family group. This has resulted in some amendments to the terms of reference for the Licensing and Regulatory Committee being proposed, as set out using tracked changes in Appendix 2 attached.